X-ray diffraction and S7Fe M6ssbauer spectra of the system Fe₂O₃-Ga₂O₃

S. MUSIĆ*, S. POPOVIĆ*†, M. RISTIĆ*

**Rudjer Bogkovi6 Institute, P.O. Box 1016, 41001 Zagreb, Croatia, Yugoslavia ~Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, P.O. Box 162, 41001 Zagreb, Croatia, Yugoslavia*

The influence of gallium substitution on the chemical and structural properties of haematite, α -Fe₂O₃, has been studied using X-ray diffraction and ⁵⁷ Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy. The presence of only α -(Ga_xFe_{1-x})₂O₃ phase is detected for the compositions with x between 0.01 and 0.90. A gradual decrease of the unit-cell parameters of α -(Ga_xFe_{1-x})₂O₃ with the increase of gallium substitution is measured. ⁵⁷ Fe Mössbauer spectra showed that the value of the magnetic hyperfine field of pure α -Fe₂O₃ decreases with increasing gallium for iron substitution. The hyperfine magnetic structure, which is observed for α - (Ga_xFe_{1-x}) ₂O₃ at room temperature, collapsed for the composition with $x \simeq 0.50$. The changes in the ⁵⁷ Fe Mössbauer spectra of the α -(Ga_xFe_{1-x})₂O₃ phase are discussed in the sense of the electronic relaxation and the superparamagnetic effects.

1. Introduction

In the last decade significant attention has been paid to the investigation of the chemical and structural properties of haematite, α -Fe₂O₃, in which some iron ions are substituted by other metal ions. These systems have been investigated using different experimental techniques, such as X-ray diffraction, neutron diffraction, IR spectroscopy and Mössbauer spectroscopy.

Taylor and Schwertmann [1] investigated the influence of Al^{3+} on the precipitates formed by aerial oxidation of FeCl₂, FeSO₄ or FeCO₃ solution at pH 5.5 to 7 to 20 $^{\circ}$ C. They found that the presence of aluminium at levels $Al/(Al + Fe) = 0.09$ to 0.30 inhibited the formation of lepidocrocite, γ -FeOOH, and maghaemite, γ -Fe₂O₃, in favour of goethite, α -FeOOH. The formation of ferrihydrite ("amorphous" iron(III) hydroxide) was favoured at higher levels of aluminium. This effect of aluminium was explained by a decrease in the hydrolysis-oxidation rate of the Fe(II) system.

De Grave, Bowen and Weed [2] studied the relation between the aluminium content and the average magnetic hyperfine field at room temperature for α - $(Fe_{1-x}Al_x)_2O_3$ over a large composition range up to $x = 0.32$.

Murad and Schwertmann [3] recorded, at room temperature, the M6ssbauer spectra of 15 haematite samples with aluminium substitution up to $10 \,\mathrm{mol}$ %. The M6ssbauer spectra showed that the magnetic hyperfine field decreases both with increasing the aluminium for iron substitution and with decreasing crystal size.

Fysh and Clark [4] found that the M6ssbauer recoilfree fraction at room temperature increased from 0.64 for pure α -Fe₂O₃ to 0.82 for the sample having 14mo1% of iron substituted by aluminium. This result was confirmed by Murad [5].

Fysh and Fredericks [6] investigated synthetic aluminium containing haematites by FTIR spectroscopy. They found that for aluminium containing haematites prepared at 950° C a linear relationship exists between aluminium content (up to 10mol % AI substitution) and the location of the IR band near 470 cm^{-1} .

Vandenberghe, Verbeeck and De Grave [7] used M6ssbauer spectroscopy to study the Morin transition in manganese-substituted haematites. The magnetic behaviour of manganese-substituted haematites is qualitatively similar to aluminium-substituted haematites, although manganese affects the Morin transition temperature more than aluminium. Since the manganese substitution did not change the crystal structure parameters, it was concluded that in manganese-substituted haematites the Morin transition is mainly influenced by the magnetocrystalline contribution of Mn^{2+} ions to the anisotropy.

Barron and Torrent [81 found that the affect of both particle size and particle morphology on the colour of haematite is unimportant compared to that of aluminium substitution.

In the present study the influence of gallium substitution on the chemical and structural properties of haematite is determined. The $Fe₂O₃-Ga₂O₃$ system has been investigated using X-ray diffraction and ${}^{57}Fe$ M6ssbauer spectroscopy as experimental techniques.

2. Experimental procedure

2.1. Preparation of samples

Mixed hydroxides of Fe(III) and Ga(III) were coprecipitated at pH 7, and this pH value was kept constant during the precipitation process. The coprecipitates

JCPDS PDF	Compound	Space group	Unit-cell	
Card No.			parameters (nm)	
13-534	α -Fe ₂ O ₂	$R\bar{3}c(167)$	hexagonal axes: $a = 0.5034$ $c = 1.3752$	
6-503	α -Ga ₂ O ₃	$R\bar{3}c(167)$	hexagonal axes: $a = 0.4979$ $c = 1.3429$	
11-370	β -Ga ₂ O ₃	A2/m(12)	monoclinic axes: $a = 0.580$ $b = 0.304$ $c = 1.223$ $\beta = 103.7^{\circ}$	

TABLE I Crystallographic data for α -Fe₂O₃, α -Ga₂O₃ and β -Ga₂O₃ [9]

were washed at near neutral pH (bidistilled water plus a few drops of $NH₃ \cdot$ aq), and then dried. Samples S1 to S13 were thermally treated for 1 h at 200° C, for 1 h at 300 $^{\circ}$ C, for 1 h at 400 $^{\circ}$ C and for 5 h at 600° C ("step-by-step" heating). Two Ga_2O_3 samples, supplied by Koch-Light and Merck, were also analysed by X-ray diffraction.

2.2. Instrumentation

X-ray diffraction patterns were taken at room temperature using a counter diffractometer with monochromatized $CuK\alpha$ radiation (Philips diffractometer, proportional counter, graphite monochromator). The phases found in our samples (α -Fe₂O₃, α -Ga₂O₃, β -Ga₂O₃) were easily identified according to the data contained in the Powder Diffraction File (JCPDS) [9].

M6ssbauer spectra were recorded using a commercial M6ssbauer spectrometer produced by Wissenshaftliche Elektronik GmbH (D-8130 Starnberg, West Germany). A ⁵⁷Co-Rh source was used. The standard absorbers, α -Fe, α -Fe, O_3 and ⁵⁷Fe-Rh were also used.

3. Results and discussion

The ionic radii of Fe^{3+} and Ga^{3+} are similar (0.067 nm) for Fe^{3+} and 0.062 nm for Ga^{3+}), and for this reason the unit-cell parameters of α -Fe₂O₃ and α -Ga₂O₃ are also similar. These compounds belong to the same space group, i.e. they are isostructural having rhombohedral symmetry. β -Ga₂O₃ possesses monoclinic symmetry. Crystallographic data for α -Fe₂O₃, α - Ga_2O_3 and β - Ga_2O_3 are given in Table I. For α -Fe₂O₃ and α -Ga₂O₃ the difference in the *a* parameters is 1.09%, and the difference in the c parameters is 2.35%.

The unit cell parameters of α -Fe₂O₃ (sample S1) and α -Ga₂O₃ (sample S2), measured in this work, were practically equal to the literature data [9]. For this reason, the measured data were used for calibration of the angular scale of the diffractometer.

The results of X-ray diffraction phase analysis are shown in Table II. Samples $S3$ to $S12$ are α -phase, α -(Ga_xFe_{1-x})₂O₃, which is isostructural with α -Fe₂O₃ and α -Ga₂O₃. The replacement of Fe³⁺ with Ga³⁺ ions is manifested in gradual small shifts of diffraction lines toward higher Bragg angles, i.e. a decrease of the unitcell parameters takes place (Figs 1 and 2). The relative decrease of the c parameter is more than two times as large as the decrease of the a-parameter. Diffraction patterns do not show 0 0 1 diffraction lines at higher Bragg angles for calculation of the c parameter. Instead, one can make use of diffraction lines $h \, k \, l$, for which the index l is dominant in relation to the indices h and k . Fig. 1 shows a gradual separation of diffraction lines 212 and 108 of the α -phase with the

TABLE II X-ray diffraction phase analysis of the system $Fe₂O₃-Ga₂O₃$

Sample	Molar fraction of Ga, x	Composition	Note	Colour (visually)
S1	0	α -Fe ₂ O ₂		brown-violet
S ₃	0.01	α -(Ga _x Fe _{l-x}) ₂ O ₃		brown-violet
S ₄	0.03	α -(Ga _x Fe _{1-x}), O ₃		brown-violet
S5	0.05	α -(Ga, Fe _{lma}) ₂ O ₂		brown-violet
S ₆	0.10	α -(Ga _x Fe _{1-x}) ₂ O ₃		brown
S7	0.15	α -(Ga, Fe _{1-x}), O ₃		brown
S8	0.20	α -(Ga, Fe _{1, x}), O ₂	broadened diffraction lines	brown
S9	0.30	α -(Ga _x Fe _{1-x}) ₂ O ₃	broadened diffraction lines	brown-violet
S10	0.50	α -(Ga _x Fe _{1-x}) ₂ O ₃	broadened diffraction lines	brown-ochre
S11	0.70	α -(Ga _x Fe _{1-x}) ₂ O ₃	broadened diffraction lines	brown-ochre
S ₁₂	0.90	α -(Ga _x Fe _{1-x}) ₂ O ₃	broadened diffraction lines	ochre
S ₁₃	0.95	α -(Ga _x Fe _{1-x}) ₂ O ₃	broadened diffraction lines	white-yellow
		β -(Ga _x Fe _{1-x}) ₂ O ₃		
S ₂		β -Ga ₂ O ₃ + α -Ga ₂ O ₃	broadened diffraction lines	white
Ga ₂ O ₃ (Koch-Light)		β -Ga ₂ O ₃	broadened diffraction lines	white
Ga ₂ O ₃ (Merck)		β -Ga ₂ O ₃	broadened diffraction lines	white

TABLE III Hyperfine magnetic fields measured for samples S_I and S₃ to S₁₀

Sample	$H_{5/2}$ (kOe)		
S1	517		
S ₃	517		
S4	514		
S5	508		
S ₆	503		
S7	497		
${\bf S8}$	488		
S ₉	466		
S10	M_1	397	
	M ₂	366	

increase of gallium substitution. Also, Fig. 1 shows a gradual increase of the diffraction line intensities with the increase of gallium substitution. This is a consequence of a decrease of the absorption coefficient, as well as the increase of the average structural factors, as gallium replaces iron.

The increase of the gallium content results in a small broadening of diffraction lines. The spectral doublet components $K\alpha_1\alpha_2$ are not resolved at higher Bragg angles for $x \ge 0.20$.

Sample S13 ($x = 0.95$) is a mixture of the dominant α -(Ga_xFe_{l-x})₂O₃ phase and the β -(Ga_xFe_{l-x})₂O₃ phase. The actual stoichiometry of sample S13 is not investigated, and for this reason it is supposed that the x fraction of gallium for iron substitution has the same value in both α and β phases. Sample S2 is a mixture of the dominant β -Ga₂O₃ phase and the α -Ga₂O₃ phase. The commercial $Ga₂O₃$ samples, supplied by Koch Light and Merck, show only β -Ga₂O₃ with broadened diffraction lines.

The characteristic Mössbauer spectra of α -Fe₂O₃ and the $Fe₂O₃ - Ga₂O₃$ solid solutions, recorded at room temperature, are shown in Figs 3, 4 and 5. Sample S1 (Fig. 3) is characterized by a typical sextet in which the peak intensity ratios are close to $3:2:1:2:3$. The Mössbauer spectrum of sample S1 can be ascribed to well crystallized α -Fe₂O₃. The corresponding value of the hyperfine magnetic field is not changed for a small gallium substitution $(x = 0.01)$. However, with further increase of the Ga^{3+} content in the α -Fe₂O₃ structure the hyperfine magnetic field (average) reduces. The measured values of the hyperfine magnetic fields are given in Table III. The line-widths increase gradually with increasing

Figure I A characteristic part of X-ray diffraction patterns in the system Fe₂O₃-Ga₂O₃ (Radiation: monochromatized CuK α). A gradual separation of diffraction lines 212 (\triangle) and 108 (\triangle) $(\alpha$ -phase), with the increase of the gallium content, is shown.

 Ga^{3+} content in the Fe₂O₃-Ga₂O₃ solid solutions. Also, there is a corresponding increase of the inner absorption lines from sample \$4 to sample S10. The M6ssbauer spectrum of sample S10 shows the separation of one sextet into two (or more) sextets. Two sextets are relatively well resolved and their hyperfine magnetic fields are given in Table III. There are no hyperfine magnetic splitting components in the M6ssbauer spectra of samples Sll, S12 and S13 at room temperature.

The M6ssbauer spectrum of sample S12 indicates the central quadrupole doublet with very small asymmetry. However, this asymmetry is more pronounced in the M6ssbauer spectrum of sample S13, thus indicating the presence of the superposition of two doublets. Their parameters are the following: δ_1 = 0.319 mm sec⁻¹ and $Q_1 = 0.482$ mm sec⁻¹ for the first doublet, and $\delta_2 = 0.337$ mm sec⁻¹ and $Q_2 =$

Figure 2 Variation of the unit-cell parameters of the α -phase, in the system Fe₂O₃-Ga₂O₃, as a function of the molar fraction of gallium. Vertical bars indicate the estimated experimental errors.

Figure 3 **MOssbauer spectra of samples S1 and \$6 recorded at room temperature.**

 0.655 mm sec^{-1} for the second doublet. Isomer shifts δ_1 and δ_2 are given relative to α -Fe. This result indicates the presente of the ⁵⁷Fe resonant atoms **in two different structural environments, which is** in agreement with the X-ray diffraction results $(\alpha$ - $(Ga_xFe_{1-x})_2O_3$ and β - $(Ga_xFe_{1-x})_2O_3$ were detected).

In the particles of the $(Ga_xFe_{1-x})_2O_3$ solid solutions **there is a non-uniform (statistic) distribution of dopant ions. Also various lattice defects can exist as a result of the experimental procedure by itself ("wet" precipitation and solid state transformation at elevated** temperature). In these particles the ⁵⁷Fe resonant **atoms have different types of nearest-neighbour (n.n.) configuration (electronic environment). For this**

Figure 4 **M6ssbauer spectra of samples** S7, \$8, S9, S10 and Sll **recorded at room temperature.**

Figure 5 **M6ssbauer spectra of samples S12 and S13 recorded** at **room temperature.**

reason, the 57 Fe resonant atoms are characterized with different contributions to the M6ssbauer effect.

Generally, the M6ssbauer spectra of iron oxides doped with foreign metal ions have been interpreted in the sense of the electronic relaxation and the superparamagnetic effects [10, 1 1]. The distributions of the hyperfine magnetic fields have been explained as a result of the local variations in the environment of the y-resonant atoms. In specific cases, the influence of particle size must also be taken into account. Coey showed [12, 13] that for certain concentrations of statistically substituted diamagnetic ions, the magnetic ions should be found in magnetic clusters of various size. Ishikawa suggested [14, 15] that such clusters might behave superparamagnetically. Iron ions in different magnetic clusters are expected to have a different magnetic ordering temperature (Curie temperature or Neel temperature), as well as a different temperature dependence of the hyperfine magnetic field. Recently, Chadwick and coworkers [16] studied the superparamagnetism in aluminium substituted ferrihydrite. Their experimental results could not be explained only by the superparamagnetism, and for this reason the relaxation effects were also considered.

References

- 1. R. M. TAYLOR **and** U. SCHWERTMANN, *Clays Clay Miner.* 26 (1978) 373.
- 2. E. DE GRAVE, L. H. BOWEN and S. B. WEED, J. *Magn. Magn. Mater.* 27 (1982) 98.
- 3. E. MURAD and U. SCHWERTMANN, *Clays Clay Miner.* 34(1986) 1.
- 4. S. A. FYSH and P. E. CLARK, *Phys. Chem. Miner. 8* (1982) 257•
- 5. E. MURAD, *Phys. Lett,* **IliA** (1985) 79.
- 6. S. A. FYSH and P. M. FREDERICKS, *Clays Clay Miner.* 31 (1983) 577.
- 7. E. DE GRAVE, D. CHAMBAERE and L. H. BOWEN, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* 30 (1983) 349.
- 8. V. BARRON and J. TORRENT, *Clays Clay Miner.* 32 (1984) I57.
- **9. International Centre for Diffraction Data, Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards, 160I Park Lane, Swarthmore,** Pa. 19081, USA.
- 10. S. MORUP, J. A. DUMESIC and H. TOPSOE, **in "Application of M6ssbauer Spectroscopy", Vol. II (Academic Press, New York,** 1980).
- 11. R. NAGARAJAN and J. K. SRIVASTAVA, *Phys. Status Solidi (b)* 81 (1977) 107.
- 12. J. M. D. COEY, *Phys. Rev.* B 6 (1972) 3240.
- 13. J. M. D. COEY and D. KHALAFALLA, *Phys. Status Solidi (a)* 11 (1972) 229.
- 14. Y. ISHIKAWA, J. *Phys. Soc. Jpn* 17 (1962) 1877.
- 15. Y. ISHIKAWA, *J. Appl, Phys.* 35 (I964) I054.
- 16. J. C. CHADWICK, D.H. JONES, M.F. THOMAS and M. DEVENISH, *Hyperfine Inter. 28* (1986) 537,

Received 11 July and accepted 18 November 1988